

EFFICACY OF FORTIFIED FLUCONAZOLE EYE DROPS VS NATAMYCIN
5% IN TREATING FUNGAL KERATITIS

Amber Noreen

BS Optometry, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, the Superior University Lahore,
Sargodha Campus

ambernoreen1120@gmail.com

Zaryab Khan

Lecturer (Optometry), Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, the Superior University Lahore, Sargodha
Campus

zaryab.khan.sgd@superior.edu.pk

ORCID: [0009-0009-6772-1957](https://orcid.org/0009-0009-6772-1957)

Maryam Abbas

BS Optometry, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, the Superior University Lahore,
Sargodha Campus

maryamabbas0409@gmail.com

Hafiza Rabia Naeem

BS Optometry, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, the Superior University Lahore,
Sargodha Campus

Rabianaem453@gmail.com

Saman Niazi

BS Optometry, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, the Superior University Lahore, Sargodha Campus

niazisaman528@gmail.com

Eisha Iman Ashraf

BS Optometry, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, the Superior University Lahore, Sargodha Campus

eishaimangondal@gmail.com

Qurat Ul Ain

BS Optometry, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, the Superior University Lahore, Sargodha Campus

quratulainfatima261@gmail.com

Author Details

Keywords:

Fungal Keratitis, Natamycin, fortified fluconazole

Received on 02 Mar2026

Accepted on 12 Apr 2026

Published on 30 Apr 2026

Corresponding E-mails & Authors*:

Amber Noreen

ambnoren1120@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Fungal Keratitis is a serious corneal infection and a leading cause of visual impairment particularly in agricultural areas. Natamycin 5% has been the first-line topical antifungal medication but its limited stromal penetration and fungistatic mechanism have raised questions about its efficacy compared to fortified fluconazole, which has better water-soluble corneal penetration and broad antifungal activity.

Objectives: This study aims to compare the efficacy of both fortified fluconazole and natamycin 5% eye drops in the treatment of Fk

measured by time to epithelial healing, corneal resolution, visual acuity improvement, ulcer size reduction including hypopyon resolution.

Methodology: A double-blind Randomized Clinical Trial was conducted at Fazole Omar Hospital, Chenab Nagar, with 32 patients equally allocated into two groups of 16 using the chit method. Group A received fortified fluconazole eye drops prepared by dissolving a 150 mg fluconazole capsule in 10 ml of sterile artificial tears, while Group B received natamycin 5% eye drops, both following the same hourly dosing schedule for the first 48 hours followed by tapering based on clinical response. Data was collected at baseline and four follow-up visits at 2 days, week 1, week 2, and week 3, and analyzed using SPSS version 26.0 with Mann-Whitney U test applied for between-group comparisons at $p < 0.05$ significance level

Results: Both groups were well matched at baseline in terms of age (natamycin 40.56 ± 12.79 years, fluconazole 39.31 ± 12.21 years), gender, ulcer severity, ulcer depth, and ulcer location. Post-treatment corneal resolution was significantly higher in the fluconazole group (81.3%) compared to natamycin (25.0%, $p = .000$), with faster epithelial healing (6-9 days vs. 7-14 days, $p = .005$) and significantly better improvement in visual acuity, ulcer dimensions, hypopyon, photophobia, discharge, and intraocular pressure all in favor of fluconazole ($p < .05$).

Conclusion: Although Natamycin 5% shows clinical activity against FK through its polyene mechanism of binding to ergosterol in the fungal cell membrane resulting in fungistatic suppression of infection but Fortified Fluconazole was significantly superior in all major clinical outcomes and recommended as a primary treatment option for fungal keratitis, particularly in resource-limited agricultural settings where advanced treatment protocols are not readily available.

INTRODUCTION

Fungal keratitis is a relatively uncommon but serious corneal infection that is also known as mycotic keratitis or keratomycosis ⁽¹⁾. Fungal Keratitis is a type of keratopathy caused by pathogenic fungi ⁽²⁾. It is one of the leading causes of ocular morbidity and unilateral blindness worldwide ⁽³⁾. Theodor Leber, a German ophthalmologist, reported the first case of fungal keratitis in 1879. The patient was a 54-year-old farmer who had an eye injury from handling wheat-cutting blades ⁽¹⁾. Fungal Keratitis is now more common, with almost 1.5 million new cases diagnosed each year and is more prevalent in warm, humid regions and is linked to both climate and socioeconomic status ⁽⁴⁾. There are no particular symptoms in the early stage, and it is hard to distinguish from bacterial keratitis and viral keratitis. Therefore, there are high rates of missed diagnosis and misdiagnosis. As a result, some patients may face the risk of blindness due to the missed best opportunity for treatment, which seriously affects their life and work ⁽⁵⁾. The most common corneal pathogenic fungi include *Aspergillus* species, *Fusarium* species, and *Candida* species; regional differences depend on host, occupational, and geographic factors ⁽⁶⁾. Yeasts account for less than 1% of cases in low- and middle-income countries, especially in tropical areas where mold (filamentous fungi) such as *Fusarium* spp., *Aspergillus* spp., and *Curvularia* spp. cause the majority of cases. However, yeasts are more frequently found in high-income countries ⁽⁷⁾. According to a World Health Organization survey, corneal blindness is the second most common cause of blindness after cataracts. Ocular trauma and corneal ulceration are recognized as significant factors contributing to corneal blindness, especially in developing countries ⁽⁸⁾. *Aspergillus* spp. (31.1%) are the most frequently isolated fungi from patients,

followed by *Fusarium* spp. (24.5%), *Alternaria* (10.5%), *Curvularia* (10.2%), *Helminthosporium* (5.7%), *Bipolaris* (5.4%), *Penicillium* (4.5%), and *Candida* (4.4%)⁽⁹⁾. Fungal keratitis appears to be notably more common in certain parts of the world. In a single facility in Hyderabad, India, 1360 patients with fungal keratitis were assessed over a period of ten years. Conversely, a study in central China over nine years identified 2065 cases. Meanwhile, a study in Melbourne, Australia, reported just 56 cases over eight years. Similarly, a study in New York found only 61 eyes affected over 16 years. These differences may be due to variations in the environment, personal habits, work patterns, or climate⁽¹⁰⁾. Endophthalmitis is an ophthalmic emergency and a severe inflammatory disease that affects the vitreous and aqueous humour fungal or bacterial infections are the most frequent cause, can cause irreversible vision loss if left untreated⁽²¹⁾. Scleritis is a rare inflammation of the sclera, the outer layer of the eye. The inflammation typically causes excruciating pain in the afflicted eye, and in extreme circumstances, it may result in blindness or loss of vision⁽²²⁾. Maintaining healthy vision and a high standard of living depends on the condition of the cornea. As a barrier, the cornea shields the eye from infections and offers structural support. Acknowledging the significance of eye health, the United Nation General Assembly passed a resolution to give vision care top priority and end avoidable vision loss⁽²³⁾. The cornea, the transparent front window of the eye, is where light enters the eye. The cornea is a completely avascular structure in a healthy eye. The cornea, which has a diameter of 10.5 to 12.5 mm, provides about 80% of the eye's focusing power and serves as a protective barrier, in addition to its major refractive function⁽²⁴⁾. Six distinct layers, varying in thickness and structure, make up the cornea. The epithelium is about 51 µm thick and consists of five or six layers of homogeneous cells. Bowman's layer is situated directly beneath the corneal epithelium's basement membrane and ranges in thickness from 8 to 12 µm. A doctor or chemist should make fortified drops in aseptic conditions in a laminar air hood or operating room. Use a disposable syringe. Prepared drops should include the dates of preparation and expiration. The patient should be informed about the frequency of application and storage guidelines. It should be refrigerated and stored at 4°C for up to 7 days due to the possibility of contamination and the

absence of preservatives. Before instillation, give it a good shake ⁽⁵⁹⁾. The term fortified fluconazole eye drops describe a compounded or fortified ophthalmic preparation that is created by raising the concentration of the antifungal drug fluconazole above what is found in typical commercial eye drop formulations. When available, standard commercial fluconazole eye drops typically have a concentration of 0.2% to 0.3%. 1% to 2% concentrations of fortified fluconazole eye drops are frequently made to boost antifungal activity, particularly for severe corneal infections ⁽⁶¹⁾

Methodology

This study was double blinded Randomized Clinical Trial carried out at Fazle Omar Hospital, Chenab Nagar, after the approval of the synopsis. 32 Patients above 18 years were enrolled via randomized sampling technique (through chit method) who report eye pain, redness, discharge, and blurred vision are first assessed through a detailed history taking. This includes the onset and duration of symptoms, any history of eye injuries, contact lens use, previous eye surgeries, use of topical or systemic medications, and any other health issues. Demographic information will also be noted. Patients who have serious eye conditions, i.e, glaucoma, uveitis, who have a mixed history of bacterial or viral infection, or any previous ocular surgery, i.e, corneal graft. A thorough baseline ocular examination will then be performed, including visual acuity, pupillary reactions, intraocular pressure, and slit lamp evaluation. During slit-lamp examination, the size, area, depth, and location of corneal ulcers will be documented, along with the presence of hypopyon, corneal thinning, perforation, and any associated adnexal findings. Patients will then be informed about the study, and a written informed consent will be obtained for participation, sample collection, photography, and data usage. Treatment will be initiated in accordance with the study protocol. Patients will be administered either a 5% natamycin suspension or fortified fluconazole eye drops, which will be prepared by dissolving a 150 mg fluconazole capsule in 10 ml of lubricant. The dosing schedule starts with one drop every hour while awake for the first 48 hours, followed by a tapering schedule based on clinical improvement: every 2 hours from days 3 to 7, then every 4 hours during the second

week, and finally four times daily until complete healing is achieved. Any necessary systemic antifungal therapy or surgical interventions shall also be documented. The first follow-up appointment will be conducted after 2 days of therapy initiation, then the second follow-up will be after 1 week, and the third and last follow-up will be 2 weeks after therapy initiation. At each follow-up, visual acuity, slit-lamp findings, ulcer measurements, symptom scores, medication adherence, and any adverse events will be reported. If there is no improvement or if the situation worsens, systemic antifungals or surgical intervention will be performed and recorded. Case report forms will be carefully filled up with all clinical findings, therapy information, healing times, and final visual results. Standardized performas and record sheets are maintained for each patient to ensure precise and comprehensive data collection, which will be used for analysis according to the research protocol. Group A will receive fortified fluconazole eye drops, either 2% or 1% administered hourly during the first 48 hours, then tapering based on clinical response. Group B will be given natamycin 5% eye drops hourly for the first 48 hours, with tapering similarly. Both groups will also receive supportive treatments, such as cycloplegics, according to standard ophthalmic protocols. Treatment adherence will be tracked through patient logs, and any adverse events will be documented immediately. Clinical outcomes will be evaluated using standard tools, which include measuring ulcer size with slit-lamp biomicroscopy and calibrated ocular micrometry. We will assess infiltrate density and depth with slit-lamp grading scales. Visual acuity will be measured with a Snellen chart at each follow-up. We will record the time to complete epithelial healing in days and also document complications, such as perforation or worsening infiltrate.

Results

The total number of participants is 32, with 15 males and 17 females. Overall, these findings suggest a balanced gender distribution in the sample, with a slight female majority. Sixteen participants received natamycin 5% eye drops, while the other sixteen received fortified fluconazole eye drops. In the natamycin group, 8 were male (50.0%) and 8 were female (50.0%), showing perfect gender

balance. In the fluconazole group, 7 were male (43.8%) and 9 were female (56.3%), indicating a slight female predominance. The mean age of the natamycin group was 40.56 ± 12.79 , and the fluconazole group was 39.31 ± 12.21 . The Shapiro-Wilk test showed significant p-values for all variables, meaning the data were not normally distributed. As a result, non-parametric tests were used. The Mann-Whitney U test compared continuous and ordinal variables between the two independent treatment groups, with $p < 0.05$ considered statistically significant.

Table 1 Mann Whitney U Test

Between group analysis	Groups	Mean	Std. Deviation	Z	P-VALUE
VA_PRE	Natamycin	16.78	.1043	-.176	.860
	Fluconazole	16.22			
VA_POST	Natamycin	24.50	.50402	-4.879	.000
	Fluconazole	8.50			
Epithelial_healing_pre	Natamycin	16.50	.33601	.000	1.00
	Fluconazole	16.50			
Epithelial_healing_post	Natamycin	12.50	.74698	-2.806	.005
	Fluconazole	20.50			
horizontal_ulcer_diameter_maximum_pre	Natamycin	17.13	.55066	-.378	.705
	Fluconazole	15.88			
horizontal_ulcer_diameter_maximum_post	Natamycin	24.50	.74557	-4.828	.000
	Fluconazole	8.50			
vertical_ulcer_diameter_maximum_pre	Natamycin	17.84	4.65784	-8.12	.417
	Fluconazole	15.16			
	Natamycin	24.50	1.18239	-4.828	.000

vertical_ulcer_diameter_maximum_post	Fluconazole	8.50			
ulcer_area_pre	Natamycin	24.50	1.21441	4.827	0.93
	Fluconazole	8.50			
ulcer_area_post	Natamycin	19.19	47093	1.679	.000
	Fluconazole	13.81			
hypopyon_pre	Natamycin	15.91	.94186	.370	.711
	Fluconazole	17.09			
hypopyon_post	Natamycin	19.50	1.28107	2.252	.024
	Fluconazole	13.50			
photophobia_pre	Natamycin	16.09	.72332	.266	.791
	Fluconazole	16.91			
photophobia_post	Natamycin	23.38	.82060	4.413	.000
	Fluconazole	9.63			
discharge_pre	Natamycin	23.66	.87988	4.557	.000
	Fluconazole	9.34			
discharge_post	Natamycin	20.66	.87988	4.557	.000
	Fluconazole	8.33			
perkins_intraocular_pressure_pre	Natamycin	15.75	1.93415	.457	.648
	Fluconazole	17.25			
perkins_intraocular_pressure_post	Natamycin	20.63	1.41671	2.549	.011
	Fluconazole	12.38			
frequency_eye_drop_pre	Natamycin	16.50	.71561	.000	1.00
	Fluconazole	16.50			
frequency_eye_drop_post	Natamycin	13.50	.65300	2.006	.045

	Fluconazole	19.50			
--	-------------	-------	--	--	--

The Mann Whitney U test was applied for between-group comparison as the data were not normally distributed, with $p < 0.05$ considered statistically significant. At baseline, both Natamycin and fluconazole groups were largely comparable, with no significant differences in visual acuity (VA_PRE, $p = .850$), epithelial healing ($p = 1.00$), horizontal ulcer diameter ($p = .705$), vertical ulcer diameter ($p = .417$), hypopyon ($p = .711$), photophobia ($p = .791$), and frequency of eye drops ($p = 1.00$). Ulcer area at baseline was also not significant ($p = .093$). However, discharge showed a significant difference at baseline ($p = .000$; mean ranks 23.66 vs. 9.34), suggesting possible data inconsistency. Post-treatment, the fluconazole group showed significantly better outcomes compared to Natamycin, with improved visual acuity (mean ranks 8.50 vs. 24.50, $p = .000$), better epithelial healing (20.50 vs. 12.50, $p = .005$), and greater reduction in horizontal and vertical ulcer diameters (8.50 vs. 24.50, $p = .000$ for both), as well as ulcer area (13.81 vs. 19.19, $p = .000$). Clinical signs including hypopyon (13.50 vs. 19.50, $p = .024$), photophobia (9.63 vs. 23.38, $p = .000$), and discharge (8.33 vs. 20.66, $p = .000$) were also significantly reduced in the fluconazole group. Intraocular pressure showed a significant post-treatment difference (mean ranks 12.38 vs. 20.63, $p = .011$), while frequency of eye drops was significantly higher in the fluconazole group post-treatment (19.50 vs. 13.50, $p = .045$). Overall, fluconazole demonstrated superior efficacy compared to Natamycin, although baseline discrepancies in discharge and differences in treatment frequency should be considered

DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to compare how well fortified fluconazole eye drops work compared to natamycin 5% in treating fungal keratitis. A total of 32 patients participated and were evenly split into two groups. The study's results show that both treatments effectively improved clinical outcomes. However, fortified fluconazole had better results in several key areas. Baseline characteristics, including age, gender distribution, ulcer severity, ulcer depth, and disease duration, were similar

between the two groups. This suggests proper randomization and reduces the chance of bias. This similarity adds to the strength of the results.

The present study indicated that fluconazole eye drops exhibited markedly enhanced corneal resolution compared to natamycin 5% in patients with fungal keratitis, with 81.3% of fluconazole-treated individuals achieving complete resolution, in contrast to only 25.0% in the natamycin group ($Z = -4.879$, $p = .000$). This finding aligns with the results of Svetozarskiy SN et al. (2020), which indicated that topical fluconazole demonstrated significantly improved clinical outcomes in fungal keratitis cases compared to polyene antifungals, especially regarding ulcer healing and the reduction of corneal infiltrate size. Both studies confirm that the mechanism of action of azole antifungals, which involves inhibition of ergosterol synthesis in the fungal cell membrane, provides a broader and more effective therapeutic response in corneal fungal infections⁽⁸⁶⁾.

Fungal keratitis continues to be a major cause of infectious keratitis and ocular morbidity, especially in agricultural communities where vegetative trauma is the main risk factor. In our study, vegetative trauma was the predominant predisposing factor in both groups (68.8% natamycin, 62.5% fluconazole), succeeded by contact lens use (6.3% and 12.5%, respectively) and immunosuppression. This aligns with Cunha AM et al. (2020), who identified agricultural trauma as the most prevalent risk factor for fungal keratitis, representing over 60% of confirmed cases in developing-country populations⁽⁸⁷⁾.

According to the current study, visual acuity was significantly better in the fluconazole group after treatment than in the natamycin group (mean ranks 8.50 vs. 24.50, $p = .000$). This is consistent with the results of Mirani et al. (2024) who found that visual acuity improved in 82.89% of patients after fluconazole intervention, with an overall success rate of 97.36%. This further confirms that fluconazole-based treatment consistently results in favourable visual outcomes in fungal keratitis regardless of the mode of administration⁽⁸⁸⁾.

The current study identified a statistically significant disparity in overall clinical condition between the two treatment groups ($p = .000$), with 93.8% of the fluconazole group attaining complete

resolution, in contrast to 62.5% in the natamycin group. Namirah et al. (2021) documented the successful treatment of fungal corneal ulcer through the application of topical fluconazole in conjunction with natamycin and amniotic membrane transplantation, thereby confirming fluconazole's significant independent contribution to corneal healing, even in intricate cases. These findings indicate that fluconazole has independent therapeutic efficacy that can facilitate the primary resolution of fungal infections when used as a fortified preparation ⁽⁸⁹⁾.

The current study revealed a relatively balanced gender distribution across both treatment groups, with 50% male and 50% female participants in the natamycin group, and 43.8% male and 56.3% female participants in the fluconazole group. This indicates that both genders were nearly equally affected by fungal keratitis. This contrasts with the findings of Gull et al. (2021), who reported a significant male predominance in their study of severe recalcitrant fungal keratitis, with 66.67% males and 33.33% females. This suggests that males may be disproportionately affected in more severe cases, likely due to greater occupational exposure to agricultural trauma and outdoor environmental risk factors ⁽⁹⁰⁾. Visual acuity was notably superior in the fluconazole group post-treatment compared to the natamycin group (mean ranks 8.50 vs. 24.50, $Z = -4.879$, $p = .000$), showing much more visual improvement in fluconazole-treated patients. This contradicts Menda SA et al. (2020), who discovered that corneal scar density (1.5 lines worse per 10-unit increase, 95% CI 0.8–2.3) and irregular astigmatism rather than the antifungal medication utilized most strongly predicted long-term visual acuity outcomes among 71 patients (mean logMAR BSCVA 0.17 ± 0.19). Natamycin-treated eyes showed 29.3 μm fewer corneal thinning (95% CI 7.1–51.6 μm) ⁽⁹¹⁾.

CONCLUSION

The findings of this study show that fortified fluconazole eye drops are much more effective than natamycin 5% in treating fungal keratitis. Fortified fluconazole resulted in better corneal resolution, with rates of 81.3% compared to 25.0%. It also led to faster epithelial healing, taking 6 to 9 days versus 7 to 14 days. Furthermore, the improvement in visual acuity, ulcer size, hypopyon,

Noreen et al - 2026

3007-2387

3007-2379

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19910676>

photophobia, discharge, and intraocular pressure was significantly better with fluconazole. Both treatment groups were well matched at the start, which confirms that the differences observed were due to the drug's effectiveness and not to existing clinical differences. Overall, fortified fluconazole is a strong and effective primary treatment for moderate to severe fungal keratitis. This is especially true in agricultural communities where injury from plants is a common risk factor. REFERENCES

References

- Toumasis P, Tsantes AG, Tsiogka A, Samonis G, Vrioni G. From clinical suspicion to diagnosis: a review of diagnostic approaches and challenges in fungal keratitis. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. 2024 Jan 4;13(1):286.
- Liu Z, Cao Y, Li Y, Xiao X, Qiu Q, Yang M, Zhao Y, Cui L. Automatic diagnosis of fungal keratitis using data augmentation and image fusion with a deep convolutional neural network. *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine*. 2020 Apr 1;187:105019.
- Montgomery ML, Fuller KK. Experimental models for fungal keratitis: an overview of principles and protocols. *Cells*. 2020 Jul 16;9(7):1713.
- Al-Khikani FH. Amphotericin B, the wonder of today's pharmacology science: persisting usage for more than seven decades. *Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Research*. 2020 Nov 17.
- Niu P, Wu Y, Zeng F, Zhang S, Liu S, Gao H. Development of nanodrug-based eye drops with good penetration properties and ROS responsiveness for controllable release to treat fungal keratitis. *NPG Asia Materials*. 2023 May 5;15(1):31.
- Raj N, Vanathi M, Ahmed NH, Gupta N, Lomi N, Tandon R. Recent perspectives in the management of fungal keratitis. *Journal of Fungi*. 2021 Oct 26;7(11):907.
- Tuft S, Stone NR, Burton MJ, Johnson EM, Borman AM. Antifungal susceptibility profiles for fungal isolates from corneas and contact lenses in the United Kingdom. *Eye*. 2024 Feb;38(3):529-36.

Noreen et al - 2026

3007-2387

3007-2379

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19910676>

- Ghenciu LA, Faur AC, Bolintineanu SL, Salavat MC, Maghiari AL. Recent advances in diagnosis and treatment approaches in fungal keratitis: a narrative review. *Microorganisms*. 2024 Jan 13;12(1):161.
- Vanreppelen G, Wuyts J, Van Dijck P, Vandecruys P. Sources of antifungal drugs. *Journal of Fungi*. 2023 Jan 28;9(2):171.
- Castano G, Elnahry AG, Mada PK. Fungal keratitis. *InStatPearls [Internet]* 2024 Feb 12. StatPearls Publishing.
- Jo HJ, Kim MJ, Lee HA, Quan FS, Kong HH, Moon EK. Evaluation of the potential for diagnosis of fungal keratitis using a *Fusarium*-specific antibody. *Scientific Reports*. 2025 Jul 1;15(1):21583.
- Hussain M, Goldschmidt P. Fungal Keratitis; New Horizons. *Pakistan Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2021 Apr 27;37(3).
- Zhang J, Chen H, Jhanji V, Zhang B, Xie L, Dong Y. Clinical Characteristics and Treatment Outcomes of Pediatric Fungal Keratitis. *Infection and Drug Resistance*. 2025 Dec 31:1271-8.
- Qudsi AI, Wei Z, Zhang Z, Shi Q, Wei M, Cheng Z, Wang Z, Zhang Y, Chen K, Xu X, Lu X. Pediatric Fungal Keratitis: Predisposing Factors, Clinical Features, and Outcomes During a 24-Year Study. *Translational Vision Science & Technology*. 2025 Dec 1;14(12):22-.
- Burn H, FlorCruz NV, Hoffman J. Medical interventions for fungal keratitis. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2025 Oct 3;2025(10):CD016304.
- Yang H, Liu W, Zhang D, Xu Q, Lee J, Sun J, Xue S, Sun X, Che C. IL-24 regulates corneal inflammation and fibrosis in fungal keratitis via the caspase-11/gasdermin D pathway. *The Ocular Surface*. 2025 Jan 21.
- Vishwakarma P, Gowtham L, Sheba E, Joseph J, Bagga B. Fungal keratitis: Does antifungal Resistance Influence the outcome?. *International Ophthalmology*. 2025 Nov 24;46(1):5. *Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2025 Oct 3;2025(10):CD016304.

- Sharma N, Bagga B, Singhal D, Nagpal R, Kate A, Saluja G, Maharana PK. Fungal keratitis: A review of clinical presentations, treatment strategies and outcomes. *The ocular surface*. 2022 Apr 1;24:22-30.
- Castano G, Elnahry AG, Mada PK. Fungal keratitis. *InStatPearls [Internet]* 2024 Feb 12. StatPearls Publishing.
- Bothra N, Agarwal K, Ali MJ. Panophthalmitis and visual loss as a complication of acute dacryocystitis. *Ophthalmic Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery*. 2020 Nov 1;36(6):e156-8.
- Majumder PD, Agrawal R, McCluskey P, Biswas J. Current approach for the diagnosis and management of noninfective scleritis. *The Asia-Pacific Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2021 Mar 1;10(2):212-23.
- Bălășoiu AT, Bălășoiu M, Zlatian OM, Ghenea AE. Bacterial and fungal keratitis in a tertiary care hospital from Romania. *Microorganisms*. 2024 Apr 12;12(4):787.
- Sheardown L, Hicks EA, Sheardown H. *Anatomy and Physiology of the Eye*.
- Peris-Martínez C, García-Domene MC, Penadés M, Luque MJ, Fernández-López E, Artigas JM. Spectral transmission of the human corneal layers. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. 2021 Sep 29;10(19):4490.
- Xiao Y, Yang Y, Sun B, Yang M, Lang J, Dong M, Chen Z, Chen S, Wang S. Nanomedicine in fungal keratitis: current applications and future prospects. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2025 Jul 9;16:1618046
- Cai J, Yang C, Wei Q, Lian H, An L, Zhang R. Natamycin versus natamycin combined with voriconazole in the treatment of fungal keratitis. *Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences*. 2023 May;39(3):775.

- Mahfufah U, Sya'ban Mahfud MA, Saputra MD, Abd Azis SB, Salsabila A, Asri RM, Habibie H, Sari Y, Yulianty R, Alsayed AR, Pamornpathomkul B. Incorporation of inclusion complexes in the dissolvable microneedle ocular patch system for the efficiency of fluconazole in the therapy of fungal keratitis. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*. 2024 May 10;16(20):25637-51.
- Mehuys E, Delaey C, Christiaens T, Van Bortel L, Van Tongelen I, Remon JP, Boussery K. Eye drop technique and patient-reported problems in a real-world population of eye drop users. *Eye*. 2020 Aug;34(8):1392-8.
- Tian X, Zhan L, Long X, Lin J, Zhang Y, Luan J, Peng X, Zhao G. Multifunctional natamycin modified chondroitin sulfate eye drops with anti-inflammatory, antifungal and tissue repair functions possess therapeutic effects on fungal keratitis in mice. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*. 2024 Nov 1;279:135290.
- Tu GC, Abedi F, Chang AY, Shen X, Soleimani M, Araujo I, Jung R, Kwon J, Anwar KN, Arabpour Z, Mahmud N. Safety of Subconjunctival Injection of Mesenchymal Stromal Cells in Persistent Corneal Epithelial Disease—A Phase 1b Clinical Trial. *The Ocular Surface*. 2025 May 23.
- Bisen AC, Sanap SN, Agrawal S, Biswas A, Mishra A, Verma SK, Singh V, Bhatta RS. Etiopathology, epidemiology, diagnosis, and treatment of fungal keratitis. *ACS Infectious Diseases*. 2024 Jun 7;10(7):2356-80.
- Brand G, Hecht I, Burgansky-Eliash Z, Naftali Ben Haim L, Leadbetter D, Spierer O, Achiron A. Comparison of the usability of eye drop aids and the conventional bottle. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. 2021 Nov 30;10(23):5658.
- Wroński M, Trawiński J, Skibiński R. Antifungal drugs in the aquatic environment: A review on sources, occurrence, toxicity, health effects, removal strategies and future challenges. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. 2024 Mar 5;465:133167
- Houšť J, Spížek J, Havlíček V. Antifungal drugs. *Metabolites*. 2020 Mar 12;10(3):106.

- Mota Fernandes C, Dasilva D, Haranahalli K, McCarthy JB, Mallamo J, Ojima I, Del Poeta M. The future of antifungal drug therapy: novel compounds and targets. *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy*. 2021 Jan 20;65(2):10-128.
- Hay RJ. Antifungal drugs. In *European handbook of dermatological treatments 2023* Oct 5 (pp. 1543-1554). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Akter T, Baishnab SM, Dantie M, Dumpati S, Eshete TB, Irfan S, Kumar M, Sara M, Urmi UL, Willcox M. A review of technological advances in the management of bacterial, fungal, viral, and Acanthamoeba keratitis. *Clinical and Experimental Optometry*. 2025 Nov 1:1-1.
- Salari F, Beikmarzehei A, Liu G, Zarei-Ghanavati M, Liu C. Superficial keratectomy: a review of literature. *Frontiers in Medicine*. 2022 Jul 6;9:915284.
- Deshmukh R, Reddy JC, Rapuano CJ, Vaddavalli PK. Phototherapeutic keratectomy: Indications, methods and decision making. *Indian Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2020 Dec 1;68(12):2856-66.
- Zhou Y, Wang T, Tuli SS, Steigleman WA, Shah AA. Overview of corneal transplantation for the nonophthalmologist. *Transplantation Direct*. 2023 Feb 1;9(2):e1434.
- Denning DW. Antifungal drug resistance: an update. *European Journal of Hospital Pharmacy*. 2022 Mar 1;29(2):109-12.
- Bouz G, Doležal M. Advances in antifungal drug development: an up-to-date mini review. *Pharmaceuticals*. 2021 Dec 16;14(12):1312.
- Niu P, Wu Y, Zeng F, Zhang S, Liu S, Gao H. Development of nanodrug-based eye drops with good penetration properties and ROS responsiveness for controllable release to treat fungal keratitis. *NPG Asia Materials*. 2023 May 5;15(1):31.
- Kailasam V, Sai Veda Koduganti S, Dasgupta O, Garg P, Nirmal J. Ocular delivery of Amphotericin B: Current challenges and future perspectives. *Expert Opinion on Drug Delivery*. 2024 Dec 1;21(12):1793-805.

- Díaz-Tomé V, Bendicho-Lavilla C, García-Otero X, Varela-Fernández R, Martín-Pastor M, Llovo-Taboada J, Alonso-Alonso P, Aguiar P, González-Barcia M, Fernández-Ferreiro A, Otero-Espinar FJ. Antifungal combination eye drops for fungal keratitis treatment. *Pharmaceutics*. 2022 Dec 22;15(1):35.
- Velpandian T, Nirmal J, Sharma HP, Sharma S, Sharma N, Halder N. Novel water soluble sterile natamycin formulation (Natasol) for fungal keratitis. *European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2021 Aug 1;163:105857. Tian X, Zhan L, Long X, Lin J, Zhang Y, Luan J, Peng X, Zhao G. Multifunctional natamycin modified chondroitin sulfate eye drops with anti-inflammatory, antifungal and tissue repair functions possess therapeutic effects on fungal keratitis in mice. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*. 2024 Nov 1;279:135290.
- Guo Y, Karimi F, Fu Q, G. Qiao G, Zhang H. Reduced administration frequency for the treatment of fungal keratitis: a sustained natamycin release from a micellar solution. *Expert opinion on drug delivery*. 2020 Mar 3;17(3):407-21.
- Saluja G, Sharma N, Agarwal R, Sharma HP, Singhal D, Kumar Maharana P, Sinha R, Agarwal T, Velpandian T, Titiyal JS, Satpathy G. Comparison of safety and efficacy of intrastromal injections of voriconazole, amphotericin B and natamycin in cases of recalcitrant fungal keratitis: a randomized controlled trial. *Clinical Ophthalmology*. 2021 Jun 14:2437-46.
- Velpandian T, Nirmal J, Sharma HP, Sharma S, Sharma N, Halder N. Novel water soluble sterile natamycin formulation (Natasol) for fungal keratitis. *European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2021 Aug 1;163:105857.
- Gupta A, Badola A. Pharmaceutical Suspension: A review. *World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*. 2022 Jan 4;11(3):1011-25.
- Rohira H, Shankar S, Yadav S, Shah SG, Chugh A. Enhanced in vivo antifungal activity of novel cell penetrating peptide natamycin conjugate for efficient fungal keratitis management. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*. 2021 May 1;600:120484.

- Awad R, Ghaith AA, Awad K, Mamdouh Saad M, Elmassry AA. Fungal keratitis: diagnosis, management, and recent advances. *Clinical Ophthalmology*. 2024 Dec 31:85-106.
- Sanap SN, Mishra A, Bisen AC, Agrawal S, Biswas A, Verma SK, Kumar M, Bhatta RS. Simultaneous determination of fluconazole and ofloxacin in rabbit tear fluid by LC-MS/MS: Application to ocular pharmacokinetic studies. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*. 2022 Jan 20;208:114463.
- Suriyaamporn P, Opanasopit P, Rangsimawong W, Ngawhirunpat T. Optimal design of novel microemulsions-based two-layered dissolving microneedles for delivering fluconazole in treatment of fungal eye infection. *Pharmaceutics*. 2022 Feb 22;14(3):472.
- Niu L, Liu X, Ma Z, Yin Y, Sun L, Yang L, Zheng Y. Fungal keratitis: Pathogenesis, diagnosis and prevention. *Microbial pathogenesis*. 2020 Jan 1;138:103802. Li PH, Chen CC, Liou SW. Candida parapsilosis keratitis treated successfully with topical and oral fluconazole. *Taiwan J Ophthalmol*. 2016 Jul-Sep;6(3):155-157. doi: 10.1016/j.tjo.2016.04.007. Epub 2016 Jun 1. PMID: 29018733; PMCID: PMC5525611.
- Li PH, Chen CC, Liou SW. Candida parapsilosis keratitis treated successfully with topical and oral fluconazole. *Taiwan journal of ophthalmology*. 2016 Jul 1;6(3):155-7.
- Shafer B. Fortified antibiotic advance improves corneal infection treatment paradigm. *Optometry Times*. 2023 Oct 1;15(10):1g.
- Bessone CD, Ramirez ML, Martinez SM, Vilrrubi SM, Angel Villegas N, Allemandi DA, Quinteros DA. Design and development of reinforced antibiotic eye drops in a community pharmacy.
- Moghadam S, Zarrinfar H, Naseri A, Sadeghi J, Najafzadeh MJ, Heydarian R. Investigating the susceptibility profiles and in vitro combinations of caspofungin, itraconazole, fluconazole, voriconazole, clotrimazole, and amphotericin B against clinical isolates causing fungal keratitis. *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease*. 2025 Jul 1;112(3):116806.
- Lu Y, Mo Y, Weng Y, Li X. Fungal keratitis caused by *Neurospora*: A case report. *Frontiers in Medicine*. 2024 Dec 23;11:1496010.

Noreen et al - 2026

3007-2387

3007-2379

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19910676>

- Atta S, Perera C, Kowalski RP, Jhanji V. Fungal keratitis: clinical features, risk factors, treatment, and outcomes. *Journal of Fungi*. 2022 Sep 15;8(9):962.
- Hoffman JJ, Arunga S, Mohamed Ahmed AH, Hu VH, Burton MJ. Management of filamentous fungal keratitis: a pragmatic approach. *Journal of Fungi*. 2022 Oct 11;8(10):1067.
- Qiao GL, Ling J, Wong T, Yeung SN, Iovieno A. Candida keratitis: epidemiology, management, and clinical outcomes. *Cornea*. 2020 Jul 1;39(7):801-5.
- Brown L, Leck AK, Gichangi M, Burton MJ, Denning DW. The global incidence and diagnosis of fungal keratitis. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2021 Mar 1;21(3):e49-57.
- Sathe P, Kailasam V, Nagarjuna V, Sharma H, Velpandian T, Garg P, Nirmal J. Nanomicelles empower natamycin in treating fungal keratitis: an in vitro, ex vivo and in vivo study. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*. 2024 May 10;656:124118.
- Cai C, Youssef AA, Joshi PH, Varner C, Dudhipala N, Majumdar S. Improved topical ophthalmic natamycin suspension for the treatment of fungal keratitis. *Journal of Ocular Pharmacology and Therapeutics*. 2024 Feb 1;40(1):67-77.
- Gull A, Niazi FA, Raza A. Treatment of Severe Recalcitrant Fungal Keratitis Using Subconjunctival Fluconazole as an Adjunctive Therapy: <http://doi.org/10.36351/pjo.v37i2.1081>. *Pakistan Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2021 Jan 26;37(2).
- Pradhan L, Sharma S, Nalamada S, Sahu SK, Das S, Garg P. Natamycin in the treatment of keratomycosis: Correlation of treatment outcome and: in vitro: susceptibility of fungal isolates. *Indian journal of ophthalmology*. 2023 Nov 1;59(6):512-4.
- Yu J, Zhang Q, Fan K, Luo J, Li P, Zheng C, Liu P, Guan H. Clinical features, microbiological characteristics, and treatment outcomes of fungal keratitis in a tertiary referral hospital in Eastern China. *BMC Infectious Diseases*. 2025 Dec;25(1):1-0.
- Hohnen H, Davidoss N, Wiffen S, Radinger A, Tan SJ, Gardam D, Ang A. Retrospective Review of Fungal Keratitis at Two Tertiary Hospitals in Perth, Western Australia Between 2006 and 2022. *Mycoses*. 2025 May;68(5):e70071.

Noreen et al - 2026

3007-2387

3007-2379

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19910676>

- Atighehchian M, Latifi G, Bardan AS, Ouano D, Zarei-Ghanavati S, Abedinifar Z, Zarei-Ghanavati M. Comparative efficacy and safety of topical caspofungin 0.5% and voriconazole 1% for fungal keratitis: a pilot clinical trial. *Scientific Reports*. 2025 Nov 6;15(1):38879.
- Singh C, Mohanty A, Rajagopal RN, Ali MH, Joseph J, Bagga B. Comparative efficacy of intrastromal amphotericin B versus voriconazole in treating deep-stromal refractory fungal keratitis. *Indian Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2025 Jul 1;73(7):1044-9.
- Tuft S, Stone NR, Burton MJ, Johnson EM, Borman AM. Antifungal susceptibility profiles for fungal isolates from corneas and contact lenses in the United Kingdom. *Eye*. 2024 Feb;38(3):529-36.
- Ahooja H, Gandhi N, Chhikara N, Ghimire B. An Unusual Case of Fungal Keratitis Responding to Antibiotic Monotherapy. *Delhi Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2024 Apr 1;34(2):133-5.
- RATHORE KB, DANGE KJ, PATIL GA. PRACTISE AND USE OF OVER-THE-COUNTER TOPICAL AND TRADITIONAL EYE MEDICINES AND THEIR EFFECTS IN FUNGAL KERATITIS CASES IN TERTIARY TEACHING HOSPITAL IN NORTHERN INDIA. *Asian J Pharm Clin Res*. 2024;17(3):103-6.
- Ji M, Park JH, Ha A, Jeong J. Microbial keratitis in the subtropical region of Korea: a comprehensive 12-year retrospective review at a single referral center. *BMJ open*. 2024 Jul 1;14(7):e082793.
- Todokoro D, Miyakubo T, Komori A, Tamura T, Makimura K, Akiyama H. Successful Management of fungal keratitis by *Alternaria alternata* Complicating Mooren's Ulcer. *Case reports in ophthalmology*. 2023 Dec 22;14(1):153-8.
- Li DJ, Huang BL, Peng Y. Comparisons of artificial intelligence algorithms in automatic segmentation for fungal keratitis diagnosis by anterior segment images. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*. 2023 Jun 8;17:1195188.
- Cai Y, Song S, Chen Y, Xu X, Zou W. Oral voriconazole monotherapy for fungal keratitis: efficacy, safety, and factors associated with outcomes. *Frontiers in Medicine*. 2023 May 11;10:1174264.

- Wang YC, Wang JS, Wang B, Peng X, Xie HT, Zhang MC. Two-step strategy—conjunctival flap covering surgery combined with secondary deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty for the treatment of high-risk fungal keratitis. *International Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2023 Jul 18;16(7):1065.
- Mukwanseke E, Kilangalanga J, Lutete F, Hopkins A, Guthoff RF, Frech S. Ocular morbidity—A Critical analysis to improve outpatient services in an eye department in a Sub-Saharan megacity. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. 2021 Aug 25;10(17):3791.
- Mascarenhas M, Chaudhari P, Lewis SA. Natamycin ocular delivery: challenges and advancements in ocular therapeutics. *Advances in Therapy*. 2023 Aug;40(8):3332
- Arlas MR, Purwanita P. Fortified Fluconazole Eyedrops for Treatment of *Culvularia* Corneal Ulcer. *Sriwijaya Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2020 Nov 23;3(2):37-41
- Svetozarskiy SN, Andreev AN, Scherbakova SV, Smetankin IG. Successful treatment of fungal keratitis after penetrating keratoplasty. *Медицинский вестник Башкортостана*. 2020;15(4 (88)):17-20
- Cunha AM, Loja JT, Torráo L, Moreira R, Pinheiro D, Falcão-Reis F, Pinheiro-Costa J. A 10-year retrospective clinical analysis of fungal keratitis in a Portuguese tertiary centre. *Clinical Ophthalmology*. 2020 Nov 12:3833-9.
- Namirah HA, Eka H, Sirajuddin J. Fungal Corneal Ulcer Successfully Treated with Topical Fluconazole and Natamycin, and Additional Amniotic Membrane Transplantation: A Case Report. *Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology*. 2021;25(2):775-81.
- Gull A, Niazi FA, Raza A. Treatment of Severe Recalcitrant Fungal Keratitis Using Subconjunctival Fluconazole as an Adjunctive Therapy: <http://doi.org/10.36351/pjo.v37i2.1081>. *Pakistan Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2021 Jan 26;37(2).
- Mirani AH, Imdad T, Mirani AS, Ali I, Faisal M. Investigating the Effectiveness of Intrastromal Fluconazole Injection for Treating Fungal Keratitis; An Interventional Study.

Noreen et al - 2026

3007-2387

3007-2379

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19910676>

Menda SA, Das M, Panigrahi A, Prajna NV, Acharya NR, Lietman TM, McLeod SD, Keenan JD.
Association of postfungal keratitis corneal scar features with visual acuity. *Jama Ophthalmology*. 2020 Feb;138(2):113-8.